Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/589,170	KOHNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2638
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edwin Garlepp</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 August 2005</u>	Time: <u>2<i>PM</i></u>	,
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 4, 5, 10-12, and 15-18 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
mar		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)